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A survey of teachers (28) in charge of biological sciences at schools/colleges showed extensive 
use of animals in the classroom. One in three educators surveyed argued against such use.
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Medical students (41) initially felt moral uneasiness towards performing terminal procedures on 
live dogs, but they eventually were able to neutralize any feelings of moral guilt by learning 
absolutions (e.g., the staff killed the dogs) that permit denial of responsibility and wrongdoing.
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Of 110 medical students surveyed, 78% supported a student's right to choose not to participate 
in required terminal dog labs, and 32% felt that, given a choice, they would not participate in 
such labs.


In a retrospective survey of 191 Canadian undergraduates, 69% were required to perform 
dissections in secondary school; 27% reported having exclusively negative reactions to 
dissection, and 38% reported both negative and positive reactions.
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Half of a group of 142 ninth graders responded that they would choose an alternative to 
dissection if provided and 90% believed that students should be given that choice.
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A survey of 482 undergraduates found that 62% felt that it would be unethical for their professor 
to require them to use electric shock on rats.

7. Lock, R. 1994. Dissection as an instructional technique in secondary science:

A review of comparative studies on dissection practices finds that dissection and vivisection should be discussed in the classroom.


Of 200 undergraduates surveyed, 56% objected to performing live animal procedures and more than half said they would refuse to participate in the dissection of cats, rabbits or monkeys if the situation arose.


Of 972 respondents to a survey of high school students, 72% felt that students should be allowed to use dissection alternatives. About 15-16% reported that they and/or other students asked for alternative lessons or to be excused from performing the dissection.


In a survey of 468 14 and 15-year-old students, 72.5% felt that it is wrong to breed animals for dissection, 83.5% felt that alternatives to animal experimentation should be found, and 38% "would object to any animal material being used for dissection."


In a survey of 106 Australian schools, 34 preferred observational or behavioral studies to animal experimentation, and natural habitats to classroom settings. Over half of the respondents reported ethical objections to dissection, and students nauseated by it.


This study of the responses of sixth graders to fetal pig dissections concluded that the exercise risks fostering callousness towards animals and nature, and that it may dissuade students, especially girls, from pursuing careers in scientific fields.


A survey of 144 medical students showed that while most students found dog-labs to be helpful, 22% felt that this use of animals is morally wrong.